quant-ph/0112139
NSF-ITP-01-184
December 2001

arXiv:quant-ph/0112139v1 21 Dec 2001

Sub-Planck Structure, Decoherence, and Many-Body Environments

Andrew Jordan* and Mark Srednicki†
Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Abstract

In a recent paper [Nature 412, 712 (2001)], Zurek has argued that (1) time evolution typically causes chaotic quantum systems to generate structure that varies on the scale of phase-space volume elements of size $(\hbar^2/A)^d$, where A is a classical action characteristic of the state and d is the number of degrees of freedom, and that (2) this structure implies that a small change in a phase-space coordinate X by an amount $\delta X \sim \hbar X/A$ generically results in an orthogonal state. While we agree with (1), we argue that (2) is not correct if the number of degrees of freedom is small. Our arguments are based on the Berry-Voros ansatz for the structure of energy eigenstates in chaotic systems. We find, however, that (2) becomes valid if the number of degrees of freedom is large. This implies that many-body environments may be crucial for the phenomenon of quantum decoherence.

Typeset using REVTEX

*E–mail: ajordan@physics.ucsb.edu

†E–mail: mark@physics.ucsb.edu

Zurek [1] has recently pointed out that, contrary to prior expectations [2], a quantum system with d degrees of freedom will generically develop structure on the scale of phase-space volume elements of size $(\hbar^2/A)^d$, where A is a typical classical action associated with the state. This is diagnosed by considering the Wigner function for the quantum state $|\psi\rangle$,

$$W(x,p) = \int \frac{d^d s}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \exp(ip\cdot s/\hbar)\psi^*(x+\tfrac{1}{2}s)\psi(x-\tfrac{1}{2}s), \tag{1}$$

where $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$ is the position-space wave function. (We have assumed a pure state; the generalization to mixed states is given in [1].) By considering some simple superpositions of gaussian wave packets (coherent states), Zurek shows that there can be fluctuations of $W(x,p)$ in the position x on scales $\lambda_{x,\min} \sim \hbar/P$ (where P is a typical classical value of the momentum p), and in p on scales $\lambda_{p,\min} \sim \hbar/L$ (where L is a typical classical value of x). The corresponding phase-space volume is $(\lambda_{x,\min}\lambda_{p\min})^d \sim (\hbar^2/A)^d$, where $A \sim PL$.

Zurek goes on to consider the possibility that this sub-Planck structure may have important physical significance if the system in question serves as an environment for a qubit (or other two- or few-state system). In particular, he argues that if the two possible states of the qubit cause slightly different displacements in the phase space of the environment, then these two possible environmental states will be nearly orthogonal. This is then tantamount to decoherence of the quantum state of the qubit by the environment. To be specific, consider the action of a unitary displacement operator

$$D(\delta p, \delta x) = \exp[i(\delta p\cdot\hat{x} + \delta x\cdot\hat{p})/\hbar], \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ are the position and momentum operators, on a state $|\psi\rangle$ of the environment. The claim of [1] is that

$$\langle\psi|D(\delta p,\delta x)|\psi\rangle \simeq 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad \delta x \gtrsim \hbar/P \;\text{ or }\; \delta p \gtrsim \hbar/L. \tag{3}$$

We will argue, however, that this is not correct in general for systems with few degrees of freedom. On the other hand, we find that eq. (3) *is* generically correct if the system (which is playing the role of the environment) has many degrees of freedom.

Much of the analysis of [1] is based on the formula

$$|\langle\psi|D(\delta p,\delta x)|\psi\rangle|^2 = (2\pi\hbar)^d \int d^dx\, d^dp\; W(x,p)W(x+\delta x, p+\delta p). \tag{4}$$

However, a somewhat simpler and equally valid formula is

$$\langle\psi|D(\delta p,\delta x)|\psi\rangle = \int d^dx\, d^dp\; e^{i(\delta p\cdot x+\delta x\cdot p)/\hbar}\, W(x,p). \tag{5}$$

Here we have made use of one of the basic properties of the Wigner function: expectation values of symmetrically ordered operators can be written as simple integrals. Note that all x's and p's in eq. (5) are now classical integration variables. Also, in the special case $\delta x = 0$, eq. (5) reduces to eq. (16) of [1].

From eq. (5), we see immediately that we do not need to have any information about the small-scale structure in $W(x,p)$ in order to draw conclusions about the behavior of $\langle D(\delta p,\delta x)\rangle$ for small δp and δx. This follows simply from the mathematics of the Fourier

transform, which relates small-scale structure in the original variables (x and p) to *large*-scale structure in the transformed variables (δp and δx). In fact, according to eq. (5), the implication of the small-scale structure in $W(x,p)$ is that $\langle D(\delta x, \delta p)\rangle$ will be generically nearly zero only for $\delta x \gtrsim \hbar/\lambda_{p,\min} \sim L$ or $\delta p \gtrsim \hbar/\lambda_{x,\min} \sim P$. That is, to get a generically orthogonal state, the shift in coordinates or momenta must be comparable to the *classical* scales that characterize the original state.

On the other hand, what happens to $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ at *small* δp or δx is governed in turn by the behavior of $W(x,p)$ for *large* x or p. If we suppose that the corresponding state has spread out over the available phase space (which is characteristic of chaotic systems, and is explicitly assumed in [1]), then we can treat the large-scale structure of the Wigner function via the Berry-Voros ansatz [3–5]

$$W(x,p) \sim \delta(H(x,p) - E), \tag{6}$$

where $\delta(E)$ is the Dirac delta function, $H(x,p)$ is the hamiltonian, and E is the energy (assumed to be classically sharp). This ansatz simply corresponds to the ergodic distribution that covers the constant-energy surface uniformly, according to the Liouville probability measure. It is devoid of the small-scale structure elucidated in [1], but correctly describes the large-scale structure. When eq. (6) is used in eq. (5), the restriction of the range of integration to a thin shell of phase space will produce ringing in $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$. The first zeroes will occur at $\delta x \sim \hbar/P$ and $\delta p \sim \hbar/L$; these are the scales where, according to [1], $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ should become small. This is correct, but then the ringing effect implies that there are recurrent maxima and minima in $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$, with only a power-law fall-off of the peak heights. (The exponents in these power laws depend on the details of the system; more on this below.) This is contrary to the supposition of [1] that any recurrences will be strongly suppressed in general (even though they occur in the example of four superposed gaussians that is worked out explicitly). Finally, for $\delta x \sim L$ and $\delta p \sim P$, the small-scale structure of $W(x,p)$ becomes important, and $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ goes rapidly to zero.

To illustrate this, we consider a paradigmatic chaotic system, a two-dimensional billiard such as the stadium [6]. In this case $H(x,p) = \frac{1}{2m}p^2$ when x is inside, and $H(x,p) = \infty$ when x is outside. If (for pedagogical simplicity) we take the billiard to be nearly circular with radius L (e.g, a stadium with a short straight segment [7], or a circle with rough walls [8]), and the bouncing ball to have energy $E = \frac{1}{2m}P^2$, then from eqs. (5) and (6) we get

$$\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle = J_0(P|\delta x|/\hbar)\,\frac{J_1(L|\delta p|/\hbar)}{L|\delta p|/2\hbar}, \tag{7}$$

where $J_n(\xi)$ is an ordinary Bessel function. Here the oscillations and slow decay of the Bessel functions correspond to the ringing phenomenon that we described above. Also, because the Berry-Voros ansatz does not have the correct small-scale structure, we do not see the cutoffs at $\delta p \sim P$ and $\delta x \sim L$ that would otherwise be there.

We can also go a little further than this for a chaotic system. Some time ago, Berry [3] conjectured that, in such a system, the energy eigenfunctions (in position space) have the statistical properties of a gaussian-random superposition of plane waves, all with the wavenumber k given locally by classical energy conservation and the fundamental quantum relation $k = P/\hbar$, but with wave-vectors pointing in random directions. Since then, this conjecture has been supported by a number of numerical experiments [9–12], and it underlies

the successful characterization of the distribution of conductance peak heights in quantum dots in the Coulomb-blockade regime [13–15]. If we use an overbar to denote the statistical random-wave average, then Berry's conjecture can be neatly summarized via

$$\overline{W(x,p)} \sim \delta(H(x,p) - E), \tag{8}$$

plus the statistical statement that the wave-function $\psi(x)$ is a gaussian random variable. This in turn can be shown to imply [12]

$$\overline{W(x,p)^2} = \overline{W(x,p)}^2 + O(\hbar^{d-1}) \tag{9}$$

for $d \geq 2$. In this formula, $\overline{W(x,p)}$ itself is to be regarded as $O(\hbar^0)$. Eq. (9) means that each particular energy eigenfunction will have only small deviations from eq. (8) on large scales. Furthermore, eqs. (8) and (9) also apply for generic time-dependent superpositions of energy eigenstates,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \, e^{-iE_\alpha t/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle, \tag{10}$$

and for generic mixed states,

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} c_{\alpha\beta} \, e^{-i(E_\alpha - E_\beta)t/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|, \tag{11}$$

provided we assume that different eigenfunctions are statistically independent superpositions of random waves. (Also, we assume that the quantum energy uncertainty is classically small.) Thus, in order to construct a state that is, for example, localized in a particular small region of phase space, we must carefully choose the magnitudes *and phases* of the c_α's in eq. (10) or the $c_{\alpha\beta}$'s in eq. (11). Once we have done this, we expect that subsequent time evolution will eventually spread the packet over the corresponding energy surface, its distribution on large scales governed by the classical ergodic distribution. In quantum mechanics, this time evolution is given entirely by the phase changes in eq. (10), and so these must be capable of producing this spreading. This is guaranteed by eqs. (8) and (9). In a many-body system, this same effect corresponds to the approach to thermal equilibrium [16–18].

We have shown that the small-scale structure in phase space discovered in [1] does not generically result in small values of the overlap between a displaced state and the original unless the displacements are classically large. However, this conclusion does not take into account new effects that can arise when d, the number of degrees of freedom, is large. Essentially, what happens for $d \gg 1$ is that the power-law exponent for the fall-off of the recurrent peaks in $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ becomes large with d, suppressing all the recurrences, and restoring the conclusions of [1]. We now turn to our exposition of this phenomenon.

For definiteness, we will consider a dilute gas of N hard spheres in a three-dimensional box of volume L^3. This system can also be viewed as a single bouncing ball in a complicated $3N$-dimensional chaotic billiard, and so we will assume that Berry's random-wave conjecture holds for it at sufficiently high total energy E [16]. We take $E = \frac{N}{2m}P^2$, so that P is the typical momentum of a single gas particle. The appropriate generalization of eq. (7) is then

$$\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle = \frac{J_\nu(N^{1/2}P|\delta x|/\hbar)}{(N^{1/2}P|\delta x|/2\hbar)^\nu \nu!} \prod_{i=1}^{3N} \frac{\sin(L\,\delta p_i/2\hbar)}{L\,\delta p_i/2\hbar}, \tag{12}$$

where $\nu = (3N-2)/2$. To perform the position-space integral that results in the multiple product, we ignored the spatial regions that are excluded by the requirement that the hard-sphere centers not come closer than the hard-sphere diameter; this should not be an important effect for a dilute gas. In the large-N limit, the δx dependent factor in eq. (12) becomes $\exp(-P^2|\delta x|^2/6\hbar^2)$. If we assume that the δp_i's are all of comparable magnitude, and that each is less than $\hbar/L$, then the δp dependent factor becomes $\exp(-L^2|\delta p|^2/24\hbar^2)$. Thus we have

$$\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle = \exp(-P^2|\delta x|^2/6\hbar^2)\exp(-L^2|\delta p|^2/24\hbar^2). \tag{13}$$

From this formula we see that $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ goes rapidly to zero for either $|\delta x| \gtrsim \hbar/P$ or $|\delta p| \gtrsim \hbar/L$, which is now in accord with [1].

Before concluding, we briefly return to systems with few degrees of freedom, and consider the reconciliation of eqs. (4) and (5). Assuming that the state spreads out over the available phase space, we have seen that eq. (5) implies that $\langle D(\delta p, \delta x)\rangle$ rings, with recurrent zeroes at multiples of $\delta x \sim \hbar/P$ and $\delta p \sim \hbar/L$. How can this behavior be reproduced by eq. (4)? It is clear that this is possible if and only if $W(x,p)$ in fact has the small-scale structure identified in [1]. Thus there is an intimate relation between the large- and small-scale structure of the Wigner function.

To summarize, we find that small displacements $\delta x \gtrsim \hbar/P$ in position and/or $\delta p \gtrsim \hbar/L$ of the quantum state of a many-body chaotic system generically produce a nearly orthogonal state, provided the system has many degrees of freedom. For a chaotic system with few degrees of freedom, we find that the overlap between the displaced and the original state has a series of zeroes spaced by $\delta x \sim \hbar/P$ and $\delta P \sim \hbar/L$, separated by broad peaks whose heights fall off as a power of δx or δp, with a final exponential cutoff at $\delta x \sim L$ and $\delta p \sim P$. These results imply that a many-body system, thought of as an environment, will (not unexpectedly) be more effective in causing a simple system (such as a qubit) to undergo decoherence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Wojciech Zurek for discussions of his results. M.S. thanks the Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. PHY00–98395 and PHY99-07949.

REFERENCES

[1] Zurek, W. H. Sub-Planck structure in phase space and its relevance for quantum decoherence. *Nature* **412**, 712–717 (2001).

[2] Berry, M. V. & Balazs, N. L. Evolution of semiclassical quantum states in phase space. *J. Phys A* **12**, 625–642 (1979).

[3] Berry, M. V. Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions. *J. Phys A* **10**, 2083–2091 (1977).

[4] Voros, A. Semi-classical approximations (in non-relativistic quantum mechanics). *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **A24**, 31–90 (1976).

[5] Voros, A. Asymptotic $\hbar$-expansions of stationary quantum states. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **A26**, 343–405 (1977).

[6] Bunimovich, L. A. On the ergodic properties of nowhere dispersing billiards. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **65**, 295–312 (1979).

[7] Borgonovi, F.,; Casati, G. & Li, B. Diffusion and localization in chaotic billiards. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 4744–4447 (1996).

[8] Frahm, K. M. & Shepelyansky, D. L. Emergence of quantum ergodicity in rough billiards. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1833–1836 (1997).

[9] McDonald, S. W. & Kaufman, A. N. Wave chaos in the stadium: statistical properties of short-wave solutions of the Helmholtz equation. *Phys. Rev. A* **37**, 3067–3086 (1988).

[10] Aurich, R. & Steiner, F. Statistical properties of highly excited quantum eigenstates of a strongly chaotic system. *Physica D* **64**, 185–214 (1993).

[11] Li, B. & Robnik, M. Statistical properties of high-lying chaotic eigenstates. *J. Phys A* **27**, 5509–5523 (1994).

[12] Srednicki, M. & Stiernelof, F. Gaussian fluctuations in chaotic eigenstates. *J. Phys A* **29**, 5817–5826 (1996).

[13] Jalabert, R. A., Stone, A. D. & Alhassid, Y. Statistical theory of Coulomb blockade oscillations: quantum chaos in quantum dots. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3468–3471 (1992).

[14] Alhassid, Y. & Lewenkopf, C. H. Statistical distributions of level widths and conductance peaks in irregularly shaped quantum dots. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3922–3925 (1995).

[15] Srednicki, M. Gaussian random eigenfunctions and spatial correlations in quantum dots. *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 954–955 (1996).

[16] Srednicki, M. Chaos and quantum thermalization. *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888–901 (1994).

[17] Srednicki, M. Thermal fluctuations in quantized chaotic systems. *J. Phys A* **29**, L75–L79 (1996).

[18] Srednicki, M. The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems. *J. Phys A* **32**, 1163–1175 (1999).